

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No 4494-02/25**

**Nauman Ali Haider**

**Vs**

**Ministry of Information and Broadcasting**

May 21, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The public body, vide letter dated 18-03-2025, furnished the required information and the same has been shared with the appellant, who has shown satisfaction vide his application letter dated 20-05-2025. Hence, no further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No 4630-04/2025**

**Ubaid Shah**

**Vs**

**Pakistan Electric Media Regulatory Authority**

May 21, 2025

Appellant present in person. Rohail Ashraf, Law Officer, PEMRA appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The public body, vide letter dated 20-5-25, has furnished certified copies of the required documents except for the information cited at serial 5 of the information request. About that, it is submitted that the said information does not relate to PEMRA, and it is the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting which maintains the said record. It is further added that an amount of Rs. 2,450/- is involved as photocopying charges, and the appellant be directed to deposit the said amount.
2. The appellant has seen certified copies of the documents furnished by the public body; however, he has submitted that the photocopying charges demanded in the written reply are excessive.
3. However, as per the Access to Information Regulation, 2023 the public body is bound to provide 20 pages free of cost. However, for the remaining information, which is 32 pages, an amount of Rs. 224/- is involved as photocopying charges.
4. The appellant has paid this amount to the learned law officer of the public body as photocopying charges, and he will deposit the said amount in the account of the public body. In view of the above, no further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No 4581-03/2025**

**Kashif Shahzad Khan**

**Vs**

**National Language Promotion Department**

May 21, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The public body vide letter dated 05-04-25 furnished written reply along with relevant documents and copy of the same was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 16-04-2025 under RGL No. 153080697. No objection has been received from the appellant. It appears that the appellant is satisfied with the response of the public body. The Commission has also examined the information request and the response of the public body and found that it meets the requirements of the information request. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No 4304-12/2024**

**Muhammad Malik**

**Vs**

**Oil and Gas Development Company Limited (OGDCL)**

May 21, 2025

Appellant present in person. Qaisar Abbas Advocate appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The public body, vide letter dated 19-05-2025, provided copies of the relevant documents, which have been handed over to the appellant, who, after seeing the said documents, has expressed satisfaction thereon and does not want to pursue this appeal further. Hence, the appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No. 4384-01/2025**

**Kashif Shahzad Khan**

**Vs**

**Ministry of Information Technology and Telecommunication**

May 21, 2025

Sherdil Khan, Legal Expert, Ministry of Information Technology and Telecommunication on behalf of the public body.

1. The public body, vide letter dated Nil (received on 29-04-2025), furnished a written reply answering two queries, with the further submission that the remaining queries pertain to PTA. Copy of the written reply was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 02-05-2025 under RGL No. 153081423. No response has been received from the appellant. It appears that the appellant is satisfied with the response of the public body. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No 4424-01/2025**

**Muhammad Farhad**

**Vs**

**Islamabad Police**

May 21, 2025

Farrukh Habib, Inspector Legal, Islamabad Police appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Information furnished by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 02-05-2025 under RGL No. 153081532. No objection has been received from the appellant. It appears that the appellant is satisfied with the response of the public body. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.
2. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No 4051-09/2024**

**Waqar Younas**

**Vs**

**National Energy Efficiency and Conservation Authority (NEECA)**

May 21, 2025

Syed Sabih Haider, Director, NEECA and Mian Muhammad Qasim Advocate appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The public body, vide letter dated Nil, filed a written reply answering all the queries of the information request categorically. Copy of the said response was shared with the appellant, who has filed a rejoinder with certain objections, which have been examined and compared with the information request and the written reply furnished by the public body.
2. The Commission concludes that categorical answers to each query have been furnished by the public body. However, it is stated that Questions No. 1 to 8, 11, 13, and a partial portion of Question 12 do not relate to NEECA.
3. The rejoinder of the appellant has been examined and is found to be based on his personal assessment and interpretation vis-à-vis the categorical response of the public body.
4. If the appellant believes that any false answer has been given by the public body, he has the legal right to file a complaint against the concerned officer of the public body.
5. In view of the above, no further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner